| | Case 1:21-cv-00729-AWI-EPG Docume | ent 29 Filed 08/24/22 Page 1 of 2 |
|----|---|--|
| 1 | | |
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | |
| 8 | EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | KEITH GUTHRIE, individually, on a | Case No. 1:21-cv-000729-AWI-EPG |
| 11 | representative basis, and on behalf of all others similarly situated, | ORDER PERMITTING THE PARTIES TO |
| 12 | Plaintiff, | FILE A SUPPLEMENT IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR |
| 13 | V. | PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT |
| 14 | ITS LOGISTICS, LLC, | (ECF Nos. 21, 22, 23) |
| 15 | Defendant. | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | Plaintiff Keith Guthrie has filed an unopposed motion for preliminary approval of a class | |
| 18 | action settlement. (ECF Nos. 21, 22, 23). The Court held a hearing on August 16, 2022, noting | |
| 19 | various concerns about the proposed settlement, primarily its fairness and reasonableness, and | |
| 20 | advising the parties that they could address the issues discussed at the hearing, and other issues to | |
| 21 | be identified in a follow-up order, in a supplemental filing. (ECF No. 28). The Court also | |
| 22 | indicated that it may have additional questions from its review of the motion. The Court includes | |
| 23 | some additional questions below. | |
| 24 | 1. The parties' settlement agreement appears to provide for release for no compensation of | |
| 25 | certain claims that were not pled, i.e., Cal. Lab. Code § 204 claims (not counting derivative § 204 | |
| 26 | PAGA penalties), and other claims that were pled, <i>i.e.</i> , unfair-and-unlawful-competition claims. | |
| 27 | The Court inquires why such claims are released for no compensation. (See ECF No. 22, p. 28). | |
| 28 | 2. The Court does not understand the rationale behind the March 9, 2020 start date for the | |
| | 1 | |

PAGA Period. (ECF No. 22, p. 5). 3. The Court does not understand how the PAGA estimated payment of \$31 per aggrieved employee was calculated? (See ECF No. 22, p. 6). (Taking the \$8,750 recovery amount and dividing it by 169 aggrieved employees yields approximately \$51.). 4. Regarding the notice, the Court inquires whether the notice may (a) be translated in any other languages (ECF No. 23, p. 28).; (b) correct the sentence stating that counsel "will ask for fees of one-third (\$87,500) of the Gross Settlement Amount as reasonable compensation," to a request for a 25% fee (ECF No. 23, p. 47); (c) omit the requirement of the last four digits of their social security number, (ECF No. 23, p. 49); and (d) also provide an email address to which class members may send their opt-out notifications or objections to the settlement, (ECF No. 23, p. 49). Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that the parties are permitted to address these issues and those discussed at the hearing in a supplemental filing by no later than September 30, 2022. IT IS SO ORDERED. UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE Dated: **August 23, 2022**

Case 1:21-cv-00729-AWI-EPG Document 29 Filed 08/24/22 Page 2 of 2